



Contact Statistician: Larena T. Kaisara
Email: ltkaisara@statsbots.org.bw
Tel: (267) 367-1326
Release date: March 2017

INDICES OF THE PHYSICAL VOLUME OF MINING PRODUCTION

Stats Brief, 4th Quarter 2016



STATISTICS BOTSWANA

Published by

STATISTICS BOTSWANA
Private Bag 0024, Gaborone
Tel: 3671300 Fax: 3952201
E-mail: info@statsbots.org.bw
Website: www.statsbots.org.bw

March 2017

Copyright © Statistics Botswana 2016



INDICES OF THE PHYSICAL VOLUME OF MINING PRODUCTION

Stats Brief, 4th Quarter 2016

Table of Contents

1.0 Preface	5
2.0 Summary of Findings	6
2.1 Indices of Mining Production	6
2.2 Mineral Production	7
3.0 Technical Notes	16
3.1 Background	16
3.2 Data collection	16
3.3 Scope of the survey	16
4.0 Concepts, definitions and methods	16
4.1 Index of the volume of mining productions	16
4.2 Base Period	17
4.3 Index weighting	17
4.4 Seasonal Adjustment	17
4.5 Year-on-year percentage change	17
4.6 Index Contribution (percentage points)	17
4.7 Calculation of the Index of Mining Production	17

List of Tables

Table 1: Key Figures in the Volume of Mining Production	6
Table 2: Index of Mining Production for the latest Quarter by Mineral Groups and Minerals	8
Table 3: Index of the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral	8
Table 4: Quarter on Quarter Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral	10
Table 5: Year-on-Year Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral	12
Table 6: Contribution of Each Mineral Group and Mineral to the Year-on-Year Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production	14

1.0 Preface

This statistical release presents quarterly Indices of Mining Production (IMP) for the period 2003 to the last quarter of 2016. Also carried in the report is the annual IMP for the period 2003 to 2016, derived as the average of the four quarters of the year. This report uses 2013 as a base year.

Stakeholders should note that as a result of editing and revision of data, figures on the previous quarterly stats brief and those on the current brief (for the corresponding period) may differ. Data used in this publication is sourced, on quarterly basis, from the Department of Mines; Ministry of Mineral Resources, Green Technology and Energy Security.

For more information, contact the Directorate of Stakeholder Relations on 3671300. All Statistics Botswana outputs/publications are available on the website at www.statsbots.org.bw and at the Statistics Botswana Library (Head-Office, Gaborone).

I sincerely thank all stakeholders involved in the formulation of this brief, for their continued support, as we strive to better serve users of our products and services.



Dr Burton Mguni
Acting Statistician General
March 2017

2.0 Summary of Findings

All figures in this report are not seasonally adjusted.

Table 1 presents a summary of findings for Indices of Mining Production (**IMP**) from 2013 to the last quarter of 2016. This table forms the basis for the discussion under Sub-Section 2.1. Reference will however, be made to this table and other tables throughout the report.

Table 1: Key Figures in the Volume of Mining Production

Base Period : 2013=100			
Period	Index of the physical volume of mining production	Year-on-year percentage change	Quarter-on-Quarter percentage change
Q1_2013	82.5	(8.7)	(9.7)
Q2_2013	111.6	25.2	35.3
Q3_2013	97.1	38.4	(12.9)
Q4_2013	108.8	19.1	12.0
Q1_2014	96.2	16.7	(11.5)
Q2_2014	106.6	(4.5)	10.8
Q3_2014	105.7	8.9	(0.8)
Q4_2014	104.5	(4.0)	(1.2)
Q1_2015	95.6	(0.7)	(8.6)
Q2_2015	98.7	(7.4)	3.3
Q3_2015	65.6	(37.9)	(33.5)
Q4_2015	77.9	(25.5)	18.7
Q1_2016	90.1	(5.7)	15.7
Q2_2016	86.0	(12.9)	(4.5)
Q3_2016	74.4	13.4	(13.5)
Q4_2016	82.4	5.8	10.7

Note: () denotes negative figures

2.1 Indices of Mining Production

The Index of Mining Production stood at 82.4 in the last quarter of 2016 showing a year-on-year improvement of 5.8 percent from 77.9 during the last quarter of 2015.

As compared to the third quarter of 2016, the Index of Mining Production shows an increase of 10.7 percent from the index of 74.4 to 82.4 during the period under review.

Table 1 shows that the quarter-on-quarter changes in the index of mining production reflect fluctuating mining production series, owing to unstable market environment in the world economy.

It can be deduced, from Tables 2, 3, 5 and 6, that there is still no production for two minerals; copper in concentrates and silver. This is due to the fact that the companies that were dealing with the products are undergoing liquidation, which has resulted in stopping of production at the affected mines.

Table 2 and **Table 6** show that Diamond production contributed 12.8 percentage points to the increase in IMP during the last quarter of 2016. This was however counteracted by the negative contribution of 7.3 percentage points from Copper-Nickel-Cobalt production, which came as result of no production during the quarter, owing to the ongoing liquidation process. Soda Ash and Salt productions respectively made contributions of 0.2 and 0.1 of a percentage point to the overall change in the Index of Mining Production during the last quarter of 2016.

The remaining minerals did not make any contribution to the overall change in the Index of Mining Production during the quarter, either because data was not available of the change in the mineral itself as well as the mineral's weight in the index were insignificant.

2.2 Mineral Production

Discussions on mineral production, comparing production during the fourth quarter of 2016 to the same quarter of 2015 as well as production during the preceding quarter, are based on [Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#). Table 4 shows quarter-on-quarter percentage changes while year-on-year percentage changes are displayed on [Table 5](#).

Diamonds production increased by 20.8 percent when looking at the quarter-on-quarter (comparison of quarters three and four of 2016) changes ([Table 4](#)). The same trend is observed when comparing the period under review to the same period in 2015 as it shows that diamond production increased by 14.3 percent. The increase in production can be indicative of improving trading conditions leading to the need to increase production due to growing demand.

Gold production increased by 9.8 percent during the period under review ([Table 4](#)) as compared to the third quarter of 2016. This follows a decrease of 20.4 percent realized during the third quarter of 2016 when compared to the second quarter of the same year. The year-on-year production increased by one percent, comparing quarter four of 2016 to the same quarter in 2015 ([Table 5](#)). Both increases were as a result of discovering higher quantities of gold from the ore.

Soda Ash production increased by 8.3 percent during the period under review when compared to production during the third quarter of 2016 ([Table 4](#)). The year-on-year comparison shows an increase of 15.0 percent in the last quarter of 2016 when compared to the same quarter of 2015 ([Table 5](#)). This could be attributed to the increased demand for the commodity.

Salt production during the last quarter of 2016 increased by 10.4 percent when compared to the third quarter of the same year ([Table 4](#)). The year-on-year (quarter four of 2016 compared to the same quarter in 2015) comparison shows an increase of 18.6 percent ([Table 5](#)). This could be indicative of the increase in demand for the commodity.

Coal production decreased by 1.2 percent when comparing production during quarter four of 2016 to the third quarter of 2016 ([Table 4](#)). Comparison of the last quarter of 2016 and the same quarter in 2015 shows an increase of 7.0 percent ([Table 5](#)). The increase was largely attributable to the demand for the commodity in both the domestic and international markets.

Copper-Nickel-Cobalt Matte production was halted during the first week of the third quarter of 2016 resulting in nil production. The production will remain at zero point until the liquidation process of the company is finalized.

Silver and Copper in Concentrates continued to record zero production during the period under review and for the preceding quarter. This is as a result of the provisional liquidation of the concerned companies which necessitated halting of production at the respective mines.

Table 2: Index of Mining Production for the latest Quarter by Mineral Groups and Minerals

Base:2013=100						
Mineral	Weights (2013)	Oct - Dec (Q4) 2015	Oct - Dec (Q4) 2016	Year-on-Year Percentage Change	Contribution (% points) to the Percentage Change in the total Mining Production	
Diamonds	82.5	84	96.1	14.3		12.8
Copper-Nickel-Cobalt Matte	8.6	66.6	n.a	(100.0)		(7.3)
Copper in Concentrates	5.5	n.a	n.a	n.a		n.a
Gold	1.4	70.3	70.7	1.0		0.0
Soda Ash	0.9	131.2	150.9	15.0		0.2
Salt	0.5	80.9	96	18.6		0.1
Silver	0.4	n.a	n.a	n.a		n.a
Coal	0.3	135.6	145.1	7.0		0.0
Total	100	77.9	82.4	5.8		5.8

NB: 1. The contribution (percentage points) of a mineral to the percentage change in the total mining production is calculated by multiplying the difference in the index for the mineral by the weight of the mineral and then dividing by the previous period's total index.

2. () denotes negative numbers

3. n.a signifies data not available/no production at the specified period,

Table 3: Index of the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral

Base 2013 = 100									
	Diamonds	Copper-Nickel Cobalt Matte	Copper in concentrates	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2003	131.3	117.1	n.a.	n.a.	102.8	44.0	n.a.	55.0	119.6
2004	134.2	99.4	n.a.	n.a.	116.1	41.6	n.a.	60.9	120.6
2005	137.6	133.7	n.a.	268.1	123.7	38.2	n.a.	65.8	130.1
2006	148.2	126.6	n.a.	250.4	104.8	1.2	n.a.	64.3	137.9
2007	145.4	111.4	n.a.	220.0	122.7	50.4	n.a.	55.4	134.0
2008	140.9	118.1	n.a.	263.2	115.6	32.8	n.a.	60.8	131.3
2009	76.7	121.1	n.a.	134.7	93.0	46.3	n.a.	49.3	76.7
2010	95.2	110.7	12.5	147.0	105.7	70.0	n.a.	66.1	92.2
2011	99.0	71.9	22.1	129.4	113.1	85.7	n.a.	52.7	92.4
2012	89.1	80.5	31.1	114.1	109.1	70.5	n.a.	97.2	85.3
2013	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2014	106.6	67.1	114.0	79.4	117.8	98.9	98.6	114.4	103.3
2015	90.0	69.8	32.7	62.4	95.8	71.7	12.4	138.1	84.5
2003 Q1	102.3	67.7	n.a.	n.a.	98.4	40.3	n.a.	51.6	91.3
Q2	134.6	143.4	n.a.	n.a.	111.0	50.4	n.a.	61.6	124.7
Q3	149.6	137.2	n.a.	n.a.	103.7	50.3	n.a.	59.8	136.5
Q4	138.7	120.0	n.a.	n.a.	97.9	35.1	n.a.	47.0	125.8
2004 Q1	99.9	133.3	n.a.	n.a.	90.3	39.2	n.a.	55.2	95.0
Q2	108.5	78.6	n.a.	n.a.	102.7	49.4	n.a.	60.7	97.5
Q3	166.1	43.8	n.a.	n.a.	128.8	37.9	n.a.	61.7	142.3
Q4	162.1	142.0	n.a.	n.a.	142.8	39.9	n.a.	66.0	147.5
2005 Q1	123.0	142.5	n.a.	304.3	132.7	43.2	n.a.	64.6	119.5
Q2	141.2	133.1	n.a.	352.6	108.7	35.1	n.a.	60.8	134.0
Q3	141.0	135.5	n.a.	215.4	130.7	36.9	n.a.	66.1	132.4
Q4	145.3	123.8	n.a.	200.1	122.8	37.5	n.a.	71.9	134.7
2006 Q1	143.8	115.5	n.a.	230.7	74.9	29.7	n.a.	66.9	132.7
Q2	136.8	131.1	n.a.	261.9	113.7	46.8	n.a.	67.4	129.1
Q3	154.1	136.1	n.a.	260.2	138.6	56.4	n.a.	59.9	144.0
Q4	158.3	123.8	n.a.	248.6	92.2	31.9	n.a.	63.2	145.8

Table 3 continued: Index of the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral

Base 2013 = 100									
	Diamonds	Copper-Nickel Cobalt Matte*	Copper in Concentrates	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2007 Q1	141.9	123.6	n.a.	174.0	100.4	14.8	n.a.	59.4	131.2
Q2	141.8	128.2	n.a.	249.6	122.4	56.4	n.a.	56.0	133.0
Q3	157.8	44.9	n.a.	228.4	147.3	70.3	n.a.	57.5	139.0
Q4	140.1	149.1	n.a.	228.1	120.6	60.1	n.a.	48.7	133.0
2008 Q1	140.7	130.0	n.a.	217.0	113.8	31.3	n.a.	61.3	131.6
Q2	138.9	111.2	n.a.	257.6	100.7	21.1	n.a.	59.3	128.8
Q3	158.0	124.3	n.a.	266.4	123.5	44.3	n.a.	62.0	146.2
Q4	126.0	106.8	n.a.	311.7	124.5	34.5	n.a.	60.7	118.8
2009 Q1	n.a.	92.5	n.a.	140.2	86.7	43.4	n.a.	58.7	11.0
Q2	67.7	131.0	n.a.	155.5	67.4	32.6	n.a.	50.2	70.1
Q3	101.3	136.9	n.a.	133.8	116.8	43.5	n.a.	45.6	98.5
Q4	137.7	123.9	n.a.	109.4	101.2	65.5	n.a.	42.8	127.0
2010 Q1	79.4	127.4	6.1	134.7	103.7	58.5	n.a.	63.4	80.0
Q2	98.1	81.8	10.5	135.0	91.2	56.7	n.a.	63.8	91.6
Q3	103.5	134.1	16.8	160.9	109.8	95.8	n.a.	71.9	101.7
Q4	99.7	99.7	18.6	157.6	118.0	68.9	n.a.	65.2	95.5
2011 Q1	93.3	95.2	15.7	111.1	98.7	71.8	n.a.	55.6	88.9
Q2	102.5	85.9	23.8	111.8	101.6	69.5	n.a.	72.4	96.2
Q3	119.8	7.2	25.8	134.3	130.9	106.5	n.a.	56.9	104.6
Q4	80.3	99.3	23.1	160.4	121.4	94.7	n.a.	25.8	79.9
2012 Q1	92.5	110.1	23.8	134.6	105.9	65.8	n.a.	62.6	90.3
Q2	92.4	99.6	22.9	119.8	99.2	78.3	n.a.	60.1	89.1
Q3	75.8	25.6	41	108.9	129.1	58.2	n.a.	118.8	70.2
Q4	95.7	86.9	36.6	93.1	102.1	79.9	n.a.	147.4	91.4
2013 Q1	80.5	88.0	94.0	76.6	122.9	97.0	102.3	107.5	82.5
Q2	111.7	112.4	115.5	98.5	89.0	116.8	118.1	74.6	111.6
Q3	95.8	107.8	93.2	102.4	112.9	118.6	108.0	113.8	97.1
Q4	111.9	91.9	97.4	122.5	75.2	67.6	71.7	104.1	108.8
2014 Q1	101.5	46.8	96.9	96.4	109.0	68.6	73.2	95.0	96.2
Q2	110.0	73.4	114.7	74.3	115.6	100.8	88.5	123.9	106.6
Q3	109.3	51.6	136.0	84.5	117.3	116.2	135.4	130.6	105.7
Q4	105.5	96.5	108.5	62.3	129.5	109.7	97.5	108.3	104.5
2015 Q1	99.1	87.6	74.3	51.7	73.4	61.6	49.6	126.9	95.6
Q2	104.1	105.2	30.3	49.7	96.9	61.6	n.a.	135.1	98.7
Q3	72.7	19.8	14.7	77.9	125.6	106.6	n.a.	154.8	65.6
Q4	84.0	66.6	n.a.	70.3	131.2	80.9	n.a.	135.6	77.9
2016 Q1	93.9	119.0	n.a.	60.0	117.9	67.3	n.a.	114.4	90.1
Q2	91.7	93.4	n.a.	80.9	84.0	56.5	n.a.	93.9	86.0
Q3	79.6	60.4	n.a.	64.4	139.3	86.9	n.a.	146.9	73.1
Q4	96.1	n.a.	n.a.	70.7	150.9	96.0	n.a.	145.1	82.4

NB: 1. 2016 Figures are provisional
2. n.a signifies data not available/no production at the specified period.

Table 4: Quarter on Quarter Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral

BASE 2013 = 100									
	Diamonds	Copper – Nickel -Cobalt Matte	Copper in Concentrate	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Year/ Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2003 Q2	31.6	111.7	n.a	n.a	12.8	25.1	n.a	19.3	36.5
Q3	11.2	(4.3)	n.a	n.a	(6.5)	(0.4)	n.a	(2.9)	9.5
Q4	(7.3)	(12.5)	n.a	n.a	(5.6)	(30.2)	n.a	(21.5)	(7.8)
2004 Q1	(27.9)	11.0	n.a	n.a	(7.8)	11.7	n.a	17.4	(24.5)
Q2	8.5	(41.0)	n.a	n.a	13.7	26.0	n.a	10.1	2.7
Q3	53.2	(44.2)	n.a	n.a	25.4	(23.3)	n.a	1.6	45.9
Q4	(2.4)	224.1	n.a	n.a	10.9	5.4	n.a	6.9	3.7
2005 Q1	(24.1)	0.4	n.a	n.a	(7.1)	8.3	n.a	(2.2)	(19.0)
Q2	14.8	(6.6)	n.a	15.9	(18.0)	(18.9)	n.a	(5.8)	12.2
Q3	(0.1)	1.8	n.a	(38.9)	20.2	5.1	n.a	8.6	(1.2)
Q4	3.0	(8.7)	n.a	(7.1)	(6.1)	1.7	n.a	8.7	1.7
2006 Q1	(1.0)	(6.7)	n.a	15.3	(39.0)	(20.7)	n.a	(6.9)	(1.5)
Q2	(4.9)	13.5	n.a	13.5	51.9	57.5	n.a	0.6	(2.7)
Q3	12.6	3.8	n.a	(0.6)	21.9	20.4	n.a	(11.0)	11.6
Q4	2.7	(9.1)	n.a	(4.5)	(33.5)	(43.4)	n.a	5.4	1.2
2007 Q1	(10.3)	(0.1)	n.a	(30.0)	8.9	(53.7)	n.a	(6.0)	(10.0)
Q2	(0.0)	3.7	n.a	43.4	21.9	282.2	n.a	(5.8)	1.4
Q3	11.3	(65.0)	n.a	(8.5)	20.4	24.6	n.a	2.7	4.6
Q4	(11.3)	232.1	n.a	(0.1)	(18.1)	(14.5)	n.a	(15.3)	(4.4)
2008 Q1	0.5	(12.8)	n.a	(4.9)	(5.7)	(48.0)	n.a	25.8	(1.0)
Q2	(1.3)	(14.4)	n.a	18.7	(11.5)	(32.5)	n.a	(3.2)	(2.1)
Q3	13.8	11.7	n.a	3.4	22.6	109.9	n.a	4.5	13.5
Q4	(20.3)	(14.0)	n.a	17.0	0.8	(22.0)	n.a	(2.1)	(18.7)
2009 Q1	(100.0)	(13.4)	n.a	(55.0)	(30.4)	25.6	n.a	(3.2)	(90.7)
Q2	67.7	41.6	n.a	10.9	(22.3)	(24.8)	n.a	(14.5)	536.3
Q3	49.6	4.5	n.a	(14.0)	73.3	33.3	n.a	(9.1)	40.5
Q4	36.0	(9.5)	n.a	(18.2)	(13.3)	50.7	n.a	(6.2)	29.0
2010 Q1	(42.3)	2.8	n.a	23.1	2.5	(10.7)	n.a	48.1	(37.0)
Q2	23.4	(35.8)	72.5	0.2	(12.0)	(3.0)	n.a	0.7	14.4
Q3	5.6	63.9	60.2	19.2	20.4	68.8	n.a	12.6	11.0
Q4	(3.7)	(25.6)	10.4	(2.0)	7.4	(28.1)	n.a	(9.3)	(6.0)
2011 Q1	(6.4)	(4.5)	(15.2)	(29.5)	(16.3)	4.3	n.a	(14.8)	(6.9)
Q2	9.8	(9.8)	51.2	0.6	2.9	(3.3)	n.a	30.3	8.2
Q3	16.9	(91.6)	8.2	20.1	28.8	53.3	n.a	(21.4)	8.7
Q4	(32.9)	1,278.1	(10.2)	19.4	(7.3)	(11.1)	n.a	(54.6)	(23.6)

Table 4 continued: Quarter on Quarter Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral

BASE 2013 = 100									
	Diamonds	Copper – Nickel -Cobalt Matte	Copper in Concentrate	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Year/ Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2012 Q1	15.2	10.8	3.0	(16.0)	(12.7)	(30.6)	n.a	142.7	13.0
Q2	(0.1)	(9.5)	(4.0)	(11.0)	(6.3)	18.9	n.a	(4.0)	(1.3)
Q3	(18.0)	(74.3)	79.1	(9.1)	30.1	(25.6)	n.a	97.7	(21.2)
Q4	26.3	239.3	(10.6)	(14.5)	(21.0)	37.3	n.a	24.0	30.2
2013 Q1	(15.9)	1.3	156.6	(17.7)	20.5	21.4	n.a	(27.1)	(9.7)
Q2	38.7	27.7	22.9	28.6	(27.6)	20.4	15.5	(30.6)	35.3
Q3	(14.3)	(4.1)	(19.3)	4.0	26.8	1.5	(8.6)	52.6	(12.9)
Q4	16.8	(14.7)	4.5	19.6	(33.4)	(43.0)	(33.6)	(8.6)	12.0
2014 Q1	(9.3)	(49.1)	(0.5)	(21.4)	44.9	1.5	2.1	(8.7)	(11.5)
Q2	8.4	56.9	18.3	(22.9)	6.0	47.0	20.8	30.5	10.8
Q3	(0.7)	(29.7)	18.6	13.8	1.5	15.3	53.0	5.4	(0.8)
Q4	(3.4)	86.8	(20.3)	(26.3)	10.4	(5.6)	(28.0)	(17.1)	(1.2)
2015 Q1	(6.0)	(9.2)	(31.5)	(17.0)	(43.3)	(43.9)	(49.1)	17.2	(8.6)
Q2	5.0	20.1	(59.2)	(3.8)	31.9	(0.7)	n.a	6.4	3.3
Q3	(30.1)	(81.1)	(51.7)	56.7	29.6	74.4	n.a	14.6	(33.5)
Q4	15.5	235.3	n.a	(9.7)	4.5	(24.1)	n.a	(12.4)	18.7
2016 Q1	11.7	78.7	n.a	(14.7)	(10.1)	(16.9)	n.a	(15.6)	15.7
Q2	(2.3)	(21.5)	n.a	34.8	(28.8)	(16.0)	n.a	(18.0)	(4.5)
Q3	(13.3)	(35.4)	n.a	(20.4)	65.9	53.7	n.a	56.5	(14.3)
Q4	20.8	(100.0)	n.a	9.8	8.3	10.4	n.a	(1.2)	10.7

Note: 1. () denote negative numbers

2. n.a signifies data not available/no production at the specified period

Table 5: Year-on-Year Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral

(Base 2013 = 100)									
	Diamonds	Copper Nickel-Cobalt Matte	Copper in Concentrates	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2004	2.2	(15.1)	n.a.	n.a.	13.0	(5.5)	n.a.	10.7	0.8
2005	2.6	34.5	n.a.	...	6.5	(8.2)	n.a.	8.1	7.9
2006	7.7	(5.3)	n.a.	(6.6)	(15.3)	8.0	n.a.	(2.3)	6.0
2007	(1.9)	(12.0)	n.a.	(12.1)	17.0	22.3	n.a.	(14.0)	(2.8)
2008	(3.1)	6.0	n.a.	19.6	(5.7)	(34.9)	n.a.	9.8	(2.0)
2009	(45.6)	2.5	n.a.	(48.8)	(19.6)	41.0	n.a.	(18.9)	(41.6)
2010	24.2	(8.5)	n.a.	9.1	13.6	51.3	n.a.	33.9	20.2
2011	4.0	(35.1)	76.5	(12.0)	7.0	22.4	n.a.	(20.3)	0.2
2012	(10.0)	12.0	40.6	(11.8)	(3.6)	(17.6)	n.a.	84.7	(7.7)
2013	12.2	24.2	221.9	(12.4)	(8.3)	41.8	...	2.8	17.3
2014	6.6	(32.9)	14.0	(20.6)	17.8	(1.1)	(1.4)	14.4	3.3
2015	(15.6)	4.1	(71.3)	(21.4)	(18.7)	(27.4)	(87.4)	20.7	(18.2)
2004 Q1	(2.3)	96.8	n.a.	...	(8.3)	(2.8)	n.a.	6.8	4.0
Q2	(19.4)	(45.2)	n.a.	...	(7.5)	(2.1)	n.a.	(1.4)	(21.8)
Q3	11.0	(68.1)	n.a.	...	24.2	(24.6)	n.a.	(3.2)	4.2
Q4	16.9	18.3	n.a.	...	45.8	13.8	n.a.	40.5	17.2
2005 Q1	23.1	7.0	n.a.	...	46.9	10.3	n.a.	17.1	25.8
Q2	30.1	69.3	n.a.	...	5.9	(29.0)	n.a.	0.2	37.5
Q3	(15.1)	209.2	n.a.	...	1.5	(2.7)	n.a.	7.1	(6.9)
Q4	(10.4)	(12.9)	n.a.	...	(14.0)	(6.1)	n.a.	8.9	(8.7)
2006 Q1	16.9	(19.0)	n.a.	(24.2)	(43.6)	(31.2)	n.a.	3.7	11.1
Q2	(3.1)	(1.5)	n.a.	(25.7)	4.6	33.5	n.a.	10.7	(3.7)
Q3	9.3	0.5	n.a.	20.8	6.0	53.0	n.a.	(9.3)	8.8
Q4	9.0	0.0	n.a.	24.2	(24.9)	(14.8)	n.a.	(12.1)	8.2
2007 Q1	(1.3)	7.0	n.a.	(24.6)	34.1	(50.3)	n.a.	(11.3)	(1.2)
Q2	3.7	(2.2)	n.a.	(4.7)	7.7	20.6	n.a.	(16.9)	3.0
Q3	2.4	(67.0)	n.a.	(12.2)	6.3	24.8	n.a.	(4.1)	(3.5)
Q4	(11.5)	20.4	n.a.	(8.3)	30.8	88.3	n.a.	(22.9)	(8.8)

Table 5 continued: Year-on-Year Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production by Mineral Group and Mineral

(Base 2013 =100)									
	Diamonds	Copper-Nickel Cobalt Matte	Copper in Concentrates	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2008 Q1	(0.8)	5.2	n.a.	24.7	13.4	111.8	n.a.	3.2	0.3
Q2	(2.1)	(13.2)	n.a.	3.2	(17.7)	(62.6)	n.a.	6.0	(3.1)
Q3	0.1	176.9	n.a.	16.6	(16.2)	(37.0)	n.a.	7.9	5.1
Q4	(10.0)	(28.3)	n.a.	36.7	3.2	(42.6)	n.a.	24.6	(10.6)
2009 Q1	(100.0)	(28.9)	n.a.	(35.4)	(23.8)	38.7	n.a.	(4.1)	(91.6)
Q2	(51.3)	17.7	n.a.	(39.6)	(33.1)	54.6	n.a.	(15.4)	(45.6)
Q3	(35.9)	10.2	n.a.	(49.8)	(5.5)	(1.8)	n.a.	(26.4)	(32.6)
Q4	9.3	16.0	n.a.	(64.9)	(18.7)	89.8	n.a.	(29.5)	6.9
2010 Q1	...	37.8	...	(3.9)	19.6	34.8	n.a.	7.9	626.4
Q2	44.9	(37.5)	...	(13.2)	35.4	73.9	n.a.	27.2	30.6
Q3	2.2	(2.1)	...	20.2	(5.9)	120.3	n.a.	57.6	3.2
Q4	(27.6)	(21.8)	...	44.0	16.6	5.1	n.a.	52.4	(24.8)
2011 Q1	17.5	(25.3)	158.6	(17.5)	(4.8)	22.8	n.a.	(12.3)	11.1
Q2	4.5	5.0	126.7	(17.2)	11.3	22.5	n.a.	13.4	5.0
Q3	15.8	(94.6)	53.2	(16.5)	19.1	11.3	n.a.	(20.9)	2.9
Q4	(19.4)	(0.4)	24.6	1.7	2.8	37.5	n.a.	(60.4)	(16.4)
2012 Q1	(0.8)	15.6	51.4	21.1	7.3	(8.4)	n.a.	12.7	1.6
Q2	(9.8)	15.9	(3.9)	7.2	(2.3)	12.6	n.a.	(17.0)	(7.3)
Q3	(36.7)	255.4	59.1	(18.9)	(1.3)	(45.4)	n.a.	109.0	(32.9)
Q4	19.2	(12.5)	58.4	(42.0)	(15.9)	(15.6)	n.a.	471.1	14.4
2013 Q1	(13.0)	(20.1)	294.5	(43.1)	16.1	47.4	...	71.6	(8.7)
Q2	20.9	12.8	405.1	(17.8)	(10.3)	49.3	...	24.1	25.2
Q3	26.4	320.7	127.4	(5.9)	(12.6)	103.7	...	(4.2)	38.4
Q4	16.9	5.7	165.9	31.6	(26.3)	(15.4)	...	(29.4)	19.1
2014 Q1	26.0	(46.8)	3.1	25.8	(11.4)	(29.3)	(28.4)	(11.7)	16.7
Q2	(1.5)	(34.7)	(0.7)	(24.6)	29.8	(13.7)	(25.1)	66.1	(4.5)
Q3	14.1	(52.1)	46.0	(17.5)	3.9	(2.0)	25.4	14.7	8.9
Q4	(5.7)	5.0	11.4	(49.1)	72.2	62.3	35.9	4.0	(4.0)
2015 Q1	(2.3)	87.3	(23.3)	(46.3)	(32.6)	(10.3)	(32.3)	33.7	(0.7)
Q2	(5.4)	43.3	(73.5)	(33.0)	(16.2)	(39.4)	n.a.	9.0	(7.4)
Q3	(33.4)	(61.5)	(89.2)	(7.8)	7.1	(8.3)	n.a.	18.6	(37.9)
Q4	(20.4)	(31.0)	n.a.	12.9	1.4	(26.2)	n.a.	25.3	(25.5)
2016 Q1	(5.3)	35.8	n.a.	16.0	60.6	9.3	n.a.	(9.8)	(5.7)
Q2	(11.9)	(11.2)	n.a.	62.7	(13.3)	(7.5)	n.a.	(30.5)	(12.9)
Q3	9.4	245.6	n.a.	(17.4)	10.9	(18.4)	n.a.	(5.1)	13.4
Q4	14.3	(100.0)	n.a.	1.0	15.0	18.6	n.a.	7.0	5.8

Note: 1. () denote negative numbers
 2. ...data is not zero but the figure is not significant enough to be measured
 3. n.a means that data is not available for the specified period

Table 6: Contribution of Each Mineral Group and Mineral to the Year-on-Year Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production

Base 2013 =100									
	Diamonds	Copper-Nickel Cobalt Matte	Copper in Concentrates	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2004	2.0	(1.3)	n.a.	...	0.1	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	0.8
2005	2.4	2.4	n.a.	...	0.1	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	7.9
2006	6.7	(0.5)	n.a.	(0.2)	(0.1)	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	6.0
2007	(1.7)	(0.9)	n.a.	(0.3)	0.1	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	(2.8)
2008	(2.8)	0.4	n.a.	0.4	(0.0)	(0.1)	n.a.	0.0	(2.0)
2009	(40.3)	0.2	n.a.	(1.4)	(0.2)	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	(41.6)
2010	19.9	(1.2)	...	0.2	0.1	0.2	n.a.	0.1	20.2
2011	3.4	(3.6)	0.6	(0.3)	0.1	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	0.2
2012	(8.8)	0.8	0.5	(0.2)	(0.0)	(0.1)	n.a.	0.1	(7.7)
2013	10.5	2.0	4.4	(0.2)	(0.1)	0.2	...	0.0	17.3
2014	5.4	(2.8)	0.8	(0.3)	0.2	(0.0)	...	0.0	3.3
2015	(13.2)	0.2	(4.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	...	0.1	(18.2)
2004 Q1	(2.1)	6.1	n.a.	...	(0.1)	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	4.0
Q2	(17.3)	(4.5)	n.a.	...	(0.1)	(0.0)	n.a.	(0.0)	(21.8)
Q3	10.0	(5.9)	n.a.	...	0.2	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	4.2
Q4	15.3	1.5	n.a.	...	0.3	0.0	n.a.	0.0	17.2
2005 Q1	20.0	0.8	n.a.	...	0.4	0.0	n.a.	0.0	25.8
Q2	27.6	4.8	n.a.	...	0.1	(0.1)	n.a.	0.0	37.5
Q3	(14.6)	5.5	n.a.	...	0.0	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	(6.9)
Q4	(9.4)	(1.1)	n.a.	...	(0.1)	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	(8.7)
2006 Q1	14.4	(1.9)	n.a.	(0.9)	(0.4)	(0.1)	n.a.	0.0	11.1
Q2	(2.7)	(0.1)	n.a.	(0.9)	0.0	0.0	n.a.	0.0	(3.7)
Q3	8.2	0.0	n.a.	0.5	0.1	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	8.8
Q4	8.0	0.0	n.a.	0.5	(0.2)	(0.0)	n.a.	(0.0)	8.2
2007 Q1	(1.2)	0.5	n.a.	(0.6)	0.2	(0.1)	n.a.	(0.0)	(1.2)
Q2	3.2	(0.2)	n.a.	(0.1)	0.1	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	3.0
Q3	2.2	(5.4)	n.a.	(0.3)	0.1	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	(3.5)
Q4	(10.3)	1.5	n.a.	(0.2)	0.2	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	(8.8)

Table 6 continued: Contribution (% Points) of each Mineral Group and Mineral to the Year-on-Year Percentage Change in the Volume of Mining Production

Base 2013 = 100									
	Diamonds	Copper-Nickel Cobalt Matte*	Copper in Concentrates	Gold	Soda Ash	Salt	Silver	Coal	Total Index
Weights	82.5	8.6	5.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	100.0
2008 Q1	(0.7)	0.4	n.a	0.5	0.1	0.1	n.a	0.0	0.3
Q2	(1.8)	(1.1)	n.a	0.1	(0.1)	(0.1)	n.a	0.0	(3.1)
Q3	0.1	4.9	n.a	0.4	(0.2)	(0.1)	n.a	0.0	5.1
Q4	(8.7)	(2.7)	n.a	0.9	0.0	(0.1)	n.a	0.0	(10.6)
2009 Q1	(88.2)	(2.4)	n.a.	(0.8)	(0.2)	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	(91.6)
Q2	(45.6)	1.3	n.a.	(1.1)	(0.2)	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	(45.6)
Q3	(32.0)	0.7	n.a.	(1.3)	(0.0)	(0.0)	n.a.	(0.0)	(32.6)
Q4	8.1	1.2	n.a.	(2.4)	(0.2)	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	6.9
2010 Q1	594.7	27.2	...	(0.7)	1.4	0.7	n.a.	0.1	626.4
Q2	35.7	(6.0)	...	(0.4)	0.3	0.2	n.a.	0.0	30.6
Q3	1.9	(0.2)	...	0.4	(0.1)	0.3	n.a.	0.1	3.2
Q4	(24.7)	(1.6)	...	0.5	0.1	0.0	n.a.	0.0	(24.8)
2011 Q1	14.3	(3.4)	0.7	(0.4)	(0.1)	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	11.1
Q2	4.0	0.4	0.8	(0.4)	0.1	0.1	n.a.	0.0	5.0
Q3	13.2	(10.7)	0.5	(0.4)	0.2	0.1	n.a.	(0.0)	2.9
Q4	(16.7)	(0.0)	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	n.a.	(0.1)	(16.4)
2012 Q1	(0.7)	1.4	0.5	0.4	0.1	(0.0)	n.a.	0.0	1.6
Q2	(8.6)	1.2	(0.1)	0.1	(0.0)	0.0	n.a.	(0.0)	(7.3)
Q3	(34.7)	1.5	0.8	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.2)	n.a.	0.1	(32.9)
Q4	15.9	(1.3)	0.9	(1.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	n.a.	0.4	14.4
2013 Q1	(11.0)	(2.1)	4.3	(0.9)	0.2	0.2	...	0.1	(8.7)
Q2	17.9	1.2	5.7	(0.3)	(0.1)	0.2	...	0.0	25.2
Q3	23.5	10.0	4.1	(0.1)	(0.2)	0.4	...	(0.0)	38.4
Q4	14.6	0.5	3.6	0.5	(0.3)	(0.1)	...	(0.1)	19.1
2014 Q1	21.0	(4.3)	0.2	0.3	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.0)	16.7
Q2	(1.3)	(3.0)	(0.0)	(0.3)	0.2	(0.1)	(0.1)	0.1	(4.5)
Q3	11.4	(5.0)	2.4	(0.3)	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	0.0	8.9
Q4	(4.8)	0.4	0.6	(0.8)	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	(4.0)
2015 Q1	(2.0)	3.6	(1.3)	(0.6)	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.1)	0.1	(0.7)
Q2	(4.6)	2.6	(4.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.4)	0.0	(7.4)
Q3	(28.5)	(2.6)	(6.3)	(0.1)	0.1	(0.0)	(0.6)	0.1	(37.9)
Q4	(17.0)	(2.5)	(5.7)	0.1	0.0	(0.1)	(0.4)	0.1	(25.5)
2016 Q1	(4.6)	2.8	(4.3)	0.1	0.4	0.0	(0.2)	(0.0)	(5.7)
Q2	(10.4)	(1.0)	(1.7)	0.4	(0.1)	(0.0)	0.0	(0.1)	(12.9)
Q3	8.6	5.3	(1.2)	(0.3)	0.2	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)	12.3
Q4	12.8	(7.3)	n.a	0.0	0.2	0.1	n.a	0.0	5.8

Note: 1. () denote negative numbers
2. ...data is not zero but the figure is not significant enough to be measured
3. n.a. means that data is not available for the specified period.

3.0 Technical Notes

3.1 Background

Mining activity in Botswana started in the 19th century with the production of Gold by Europeans from the Tati Reefs which is now the modern Francistown area. However, much of this activity could not be accounted for, despite its significant contribution to the economy at that time. Modern mining in Botswana started with the mining of Diamonds at Orapa in 1971 followed by Copper-Nickel production in 1973 at Selebi-Phikwe. Since the early 1980s, the mining industry has been the largest contributor to real gross domestic product (GDP), contributing between 30 and 50 percent.

These mineral contributions enabled the Government to undertake investments in both human and physical infrastructure development over time. Even though the mining sector's contribution to GDP has been below 25 percent since the 2009 recession, available data indicates that the sector still leads in terms of value added contribution to GDP. Despite its great contribution to Botswana's GDP, the mining industry is capital intensive and accounts for less than 5 percent of employment in the private sector.

With such a significant contribution to the GDP, and the national economy, the need for a measure of change in the production of minerals in Botswana cannot be over emphasized. The index of physical volume of mining production is such a measure that provides a relative change over time in mining production. IMP can also be used as a deflator to calculate the gross domestic product (GDP) at constant prices.

3.2 Data collection

A mining production survey is carried out by the Department of Mines at the Ministry of Mineral Resource, Green Technology and Energy Security, covering all mining establishments operating in the country. After the completion of data collection, the Department of Mines provides the data to Statistics Botswana. Following international standards and guidelines, Statistics Botswana cleans the data, produces statistical tables and produces reports which are then packaged and disseminated to users. The results of the survey are used to calculate the volume of mining production indices on a quarterly basis and subsequently to estimate GDP, also on a quarterly basis.

3.3 Scope of the survey

The survey covers all mining establishments conducting activities relating to the extraction of minerals occurring naturally as solids such as Diamonds, Copper-Nickel-Cobalt Matte, Copper in Concentrates, Gold, Soda Ash, Salt, Coal, Semi-precious stones and the quarrying of building materials. The activities are classified according to the International Standard of Industrial Classification of all Economic Activities, ISIC Rev 4, and Central Product Classification (CPC) Version 2.

4.0 Concepts, definitions and methods

4.1 Index of the volume of mining productions

The index of the volume of mining production is a ratio that indicates the increase or decrease of a magnitude. The index form is used not only for intertemporal comparisons but for comparisons between countries

The IMP is an important macro-economic indicator which monitors progress and fluctuation of the mineral sector production in the economy. The Index is also known to be an effective tool that measures current production which indicates relative changes over time in the physical volume of mining production.

4.2 Base Period

The base period, usually a year, is the period against which other periods are compared and whose values provide the weights for an index. The base period, also referred to as reference period used in this brief is 2013 and it is set at 100.

4.3 Index weighting

The weight of the mineral group is the ratio of the estimated value of production of a mineral group to the total estimated value of production of the mining industry. The weight of a mineral group reflects the importance of the mineral group in the total mining industry. The relative importance of various mineral groups is different and these differentials need to be reflected while measuring the performance of the entire mining sector.

4.4 Seasonal Adjustment

Seasonal adjustment is a means of removing the estimated effects of normal seasonal fluctuations and typical calendar effects from the series so that the effects of other influences on the series can be more clearly recognised. Seasonal adjustment does not aim to remove irregular or non-seasonal influences which may be present in any particular month.

The data produced is not seasonally adjusted. However there is a further scope of producing and disseminating an additional seasonally adjusted series only when there is a clear statistical evidence and economic interpretation of the seasonal/calendar effects.

4.5 Year-on-year percentage change

Year-on-Year percentage change in a variable for any given period is the rate of change expressed over the same period.

4.6 Index Contribution (percentage points)

The contribution (percentage points) of a mineral group or mineral to the percentage change in the total mining production for a given period is calculated by multiplying the difference in the index for each mineral group or mineral by the weight of the mineral group or mineral and then dividing by the previous period's total index. It indicates the extent to which each mineral group affects the overall growth of mining production.

4.7 Calculation of the Index of Mining Production

To calculate the evolution of physical volume of mining production on a quarterly basis, a Laspeyres indicator, base year **2013=100**, was used. The index is calculated as the weighted arithmetic mean of the production relatives in respect of selected items. The weighted average is done to measure the importance of various mineral groups in the mining sector when calculating the comprehensive growth rate of the sector.

$$I = \frac{\sum R_i \cdot W_i}{\sum W_i}$$

Where; **I** is the index, **R_i** is the production relative of item **i** and **W_i** is the weight allocated to item **i**

The production relative (R_i) of the **i**th item for the quarter has been calculated by using the formula:

$$R_i = \frac{P_{ic}}{P_{io}} \cdot 100$$

Where **P_{ic}** is the production of the **i**th item in the current quarter and **P_{io}** is the production of the **i**th item in the base year.

